## 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

1

2

3

4

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## Northern District of California

San Francisco Division

NADA PACIFIC CORP,

v.

No. C 13-04325 LB

Plaintiff.

ORDER SETTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

POWER ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING, LTD., et al.,

[Re: ECF Nos. 71, 90]]

Defendant

AND RELATED COUNTER- AND CROSS-CLAIMS

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16

This action arises from the failure of a microtunnel boring machine (the "boring machine") during a construction project that plaintiff Nada Pacific Corporation ("Nada") performed for the San Francisco Public Utility Commisison ("SFPUC"). In relation to a construction project, Nada received a subcontract from SFPUC to construct a subsurface tunnel using a microtunnel boring machine. Nada Complaint, ECF No. 6 ¶¶ 7, 14. Nada leased the boring machine used on the project from Akkerman Inc. ("Akkerman"). *Id.* ¶ 20. The boring machine contained a gear box that was designed and manufactured by Power Engineering & Manufacturing, Ltd. ("PEM"). *Id.* ¶ 27. Besser Company ("Besser") manufactured an iron casting that PEM used to manufacture the gear box. *Id.* The gear box in the boring machine failed, which, according to Nada, caused the boring

C 13-04325 LB ORDER

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Citations are to the Electronic Case File ("ECF") with pin cites to the electronically-generated page numbers at the top of the document.

11

1

The parties all sued each other. In their case management statement dated August 21, 2014, both PEM and Besser stated that they planned on filing summary judgment motions, *see* 8/21/2014 CMC Statement, ECF No. 69 at 2, but only Besser did. *See* Besser Motion, ECF No. 71. Instead, PEM filed a motion asking the court to determine that its settlement with Nada and Akkerman, which came as a surprise to the court, was made in good faith. *See* PEM Motion, ECF No. 73. Besser opposed PEM's motion, and thereafter PEM filed a notice withdrawing its motion because its settlement with Nada and Akkerman was rescinded. *See* Reply, ECF No. 90.

machine to become immobilized underground. *Id.* ¶ 32-33. A rescue shaft had to be constructed to

This raises a few issues. Given that PEM planned on filing a summary judgment motion prior to the now-rescinded settlement, the court wonders whether PEM still wishes to do so. If it does, it may be best to hear PEM's motion at the same time as Besser's motion. It also may affect the current case deadlines. To discuss these issues, the court **ORDERS** the parties to meet-and-confer about them and to file a joint case management conference statement no later than **12:00 p.m. on**Wednesday, October 8, 2014. The court also SETS a Case Management Conference for **11:00**a.m. on Thursday, October 10, 2014 in Courtroom C, 15th Floor, United States District Court, 450

Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, 94131. The parties are welcome to appear at it through CourtCall, and they may make their own arrangements to do so.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

get the boring machine out. *Id.* ¶¶ 38-39.

Dated: October 6, 2014

Dated. October 0, 2014

LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

\_ .

28